V. Conte,¹ F. Di Furia, and G. Modena*

Centro di Studio sui Meccanismi di Reazioni Organiche del CNR, Dipartimento di Chimica Organica dell'Uniuersitd, Via Marzolo 1, 35131 Padova, Italy

Received September 9, 1987

Kinetic and 180-labeling evidence is presented of a radical pathway in the oxidation of 2-propanol to acetone by V(V)- and Mo(VI)-peroxo complexes. When the apparent pH of the'reaction mixture is varied, thus affecting the relative amounts of neutral and anionic peroxo complexes, a different oxidative behavior of such species is **observed.** A mechanistic scheme is presented where the oxidation of the alcohol coordinated to the metal is proposed.

Introduction

In a preliminary communication,² we reported experimental evidence of a radical pathway in the oxidation of alcohols, namely, ethanol and 2-propanol, by vanadium and molybdenum peroxo complexes.

In particular, we suggested the occurrence of a ketyl radical on the basis of the finding that, in the presence of dioxygen, hydrogen peroxide was formed? **as** revealed by the stoichiometry as well as by ^{18}O -labeling experiments, likely via the autoxidative chain reaction.³

$$
\frac{1}{2}C_{0}^{OH} + O_{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}C_{0}^{OH} \tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}C_{0-0}^{OH} + \frac{1}{2}C_{H}^{OH} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}C_{0-0H}^{OH} + \frac{1}{2}C_{0}^{OH} (2)
$$

$$
>c<_{0-OH}^{OH} \rightleftharpoons sc=0 + H_2O_2
$$
 (3)

The unique role played by the peroxo complexes is demonstrated by the lack of any oxidative process when $Mo(VI)$ or $V(V)$ precursors, such as $MoO₂(acac)₂$ or $VO (OPr-i)$ ₃, are used under otherwise identical conditions but in the absence of hydrogen peroxide.² Moreover, when $Mo(VI)$ or $V(V)$ species are substituted with $Fe(III), Co(II),$ and Cu(I1) derivatives, a very different behavior is observed, resulting in a fast decomposition of hydrogen peroxide.2 Therefore, the ability of vanadium and molybdenum peroxo species to act **as** monoelectron acceptors from alcohols has been revealed. This is indeed a novel feature which enlarges the scope of these reagents.⁴

We have now extended our investigation to the effect of the acidity of the alcoholic medium on the oxidation process. It is, in fact, well-known^{5,6} that both peroxovanadium and peroxomolybdenum derivatives are acids. Therefore, depending on the experimental conditions, different concentrations of neutral and anionic peroxo species may occur, likely characterized by different oxi-

Table I. $VO(OPr-i)_3$ -Catalyzed Oxidation of 2-PrOH with H₂O₂.^{*a*} Effect of Addition of 2-PrONa on the Reaction Rates

	$[2-PrONa] \times 10^4$ M	$R_0^{\prime\,b} \times 10^8$ M s ⁻¹				
	0.0	13.12				
	0.5	12.97				
	1.0	50.11				

 $\left[\text{VO}(\text{OPT-}i)_3 \right] = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}, \left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \right] = 4.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}, \left[\text{H}_2\text{O} \right] = 0.5$ M. $T = 25 \text{ °C}.$ ${}^bR_0' = d[C=0]/dt$

dative ability.' We report here the results of a kinetic study of the oxidation of 2-propanol at various acidities, together with potentiometric and ¹⁸O-labeling experiments, which confirm the one-electron character of the process, underlining also the different behavior of $V(V)$ - and Mo(V1)-peroxo species and of their anions.

Results and Discussion

The oxidation of 2-propanol, which was also the solvent, with hydrogen peroxide in the presence of $V(V)$ or $Mo(VI)$ catalyst was followed by GC monitoring of the acetone formed and by iodometric titration of the hydrogen peroxide content. Details on the experimental conditions have been reported² previously and are summarized in the captions of the various figures. Although most of the work has been carried out for Mo(VI)-catalyzed oxidation, it may be appropriate to present here some data referring to $VO(\overrightarrow{OPT^{-1}})_3$ catalyst. These are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The involvement of dioxygen in the process is rather evident. In the reaction carried out under air, together with the formation of acetone, hydrogen peroxide is actually produced. When dioxygen is excluded, only the stoichiometric oxidation of 2-propanol is observed. Under these conditions, the linear dependence of both acetone formation and hydrogen peroxide disappearance as a function of time indicates pseudo-zero-order kinetics. This is due, **as** previously observed, to the large association constant of hydrogen peroxide to vanadium leading to the monoperoxometal species, $8,9$ which is the actual oxidant in solution:

 $VO(OPr-i)_3 + H_2O_2 \rightleftharpoons VO(O_2)(OPr-i) + 2i-PrOH$ (4)

Owing to the kinetic complexity of the system where the

0022-3263/88/1953-1665\$01.50/0 *0* 1988 American Chemical Society

⁽¹⁾ Work taken in part from the Ph.D. Thesis of V.C. University of Padova, **1987.**

⁽²⁾ Bortolini, O.; Conte, V.; Di Furia, F.; Modena, G. Nouv. J. Chim. **1986,9,147-160.**

⁽³⁾ Denisov, E. **T.;** Mitakevich, N. I.; Agabekov, V. E. *Liquid Phase Oxidation of Oxygen Containing Compounds;* translated by Paterson,

D. A.; Consultanta Bureau: New York, **1977. (4)** (a) Sheldon, **R.** A.; Kochi, J. K. *Metal Catalyzed Oxidation of* Organic Compounds; Academic: New York, 1981; pp 71–119. (b) Collins,
T. J.; Stille, J. K. Abstract of the report on International Workshop on
Activation of Dioxygen Species and Catalytic Oxidations; Galzignano: Padua, Italy, 1984.

⁽⁵⁾ Mimoun. H.; Seree De Roch, I.: Sajus, L.; *Bull.* SOC. *Chim. Fr.* **1969, 1481-1492.**

^{1964,6,313-324.} (6) Connor, J. A.; Ebsworth, E. A. V. *Adv. Znorg. Chem. Radiochem.*

⁽⁷⁾ (a) Di Furia, F.; Modena, G. *Rev. Chem. Zntermed.* **1985,6,51-76. (b)** Bortolini, **0.;** Di Furia, F.; Modena, G.; Seraglia, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985,50, 2688-2690.** (c) Bortolini, *0.;* Conte, V.; Di Furia, F.; Modena, G. J. *Org. Chem.* **1986,51, 2661-2663.**

⁽⁸⁾ Di Furia, F.; Modena, G.; Curci, R.; Bachofer, S. J.; Edwards, J. *0.;* Pomerantz, M. *J. Mol.* Catal. **1982,** *14,* **219-229.**

⁽⁹⁾ Bortolini, *0.;* Di Furia, F.; Scrimin, P. J. *Mol. Catal.* **1980, 9, 323-334.**

Figure 1. Rate of appearance of H_2O_2 (a) and of acetone (\Box) in the oxidation of 2-PrOH with H_2O_2 (4.5 \times 10⁻³ M) catalyzed by $VO(OPr-i)_{3}$ (1×10^{-4} M) in the presence of H₂O (0.5 M) and 2-PrOH as solvent at $T = 25$ °C, under air.

Figure 2. Rate of disappearance of H_2O_2 (a) and appearance of acetone (\Box) in the oxidation of 2-PrOH with H_2O_2 (4.5 \times 10⁻³) M) catalyzed by $VO(OPr-i)_3$ $(1 \times 10^{-4}$ M) in the presence of H_2O (0.5 M) and 2-PrOH **as** solvent at 25 **"C.** The reaction was carried out in sealed vials after several vacuum-argon cycles.

content of hydrogen peroxide increases with time, we limited ourselves to examine the effect of added base (2-Pr-ONa) on the rate of acetone formation under air. As shown in Table I, addition of 1 equiv of base almost suppresses the oxidation reaction. The effect of added base does not follow a simple behavior since it is observed that 0.5 equiv of 2-PrO⁻ causes only a small decrease of the rate. This is largely due to the complex acid-base equilibria involved, which affect the nature of the peroxo species. 9,10 This system has been no further investigated. At any rate, the most relevant experimental result is that when 1 equiv of base is added, no acetone is formed and only a small loss

(10) As an example, the following equilibria may be envisaged:

 $(RO)VO(O_2) + RO^- \rightleftharpoons [(RO)_2VO(O_2)]^-$

 $(RO)VO(O_2) + H_2O_2 + RO^- \rightleftharpoons [VO(O_2)_2]^- + 2ROH$

Figure 3. Rate of disappearance of H_2O_2 (\blacksquare) and appearance of acetone (\Box) in the oxidation of 2-PrOH with H_2O_2 (4.5 \times 10⁻³) M) catalyzed by $MoO₂(acac)₂ (1 \times 10^{-4} M)$ in the presence of $H₂O$ (0.5 M) and 2-PrOH as solvent at $T = 40 \degree \text{C}$, under air.

Figure 4. Rate of disappearance of H_2O_2 (\blacksquare) and appearance of acetone (\Box) in the oxidation of 2-PrOH with H_2O_2 (4.5 \times 10⁻³) M) catalyzed by $MoO₂(acac)₂ (1 \times 10⁻⁴ M)$ in the presence of $H₂O$ (0.5 M) and 2-PrOH as solvent at $T = 40 \text{ °C}$. The reaction was carried out in sealed vials after several vacuum-argon cycles.

of hydrogen peroxide is observed (less than **10%** in 30 h).

In order to explain this observation, it may be useful to recall that, under our experimental conditions, the excess of hydrogen peroxide over vanadium causes inter alia the formation, via an acid-dependent equilibrium, shifted to the right in basic solution, of an anionic diperoxovanadium species which should have no alkoxy groups bound to the $\rm{metal.}^9$

$$
VO(O_2)(OPr-i) + H_2O_2 \rightleftarrows [VO(O_2)_2]^- + i\text{-}ProH_2^+ \qquad (5)
$$

Independent evidence on the removal of alkoxy groups from the diperoxovanadium derivative has been obtained previously by means of **a circular** dichroism investigation." Therefore, a rationale is that, in order to be oxidized, the

⁽¹¹⁾ Bortolini, 0.; Di Furia, R.; Modena, *G.;* **Scattolin, M.** *Now. J. Chim.* **1982,5, 537-540.**

Figure 5. Mo(VI) kinetic order in the oxidation of 2-PrOH with H_2O_2 (4.5 \times 10⁻³ M); 2-PrOH as solvent at $T = 40$ °C, under air. Plot A, $[2\text{-}PrOna] = 0$; plot B, $[2\text{-}PrOna] = [Mo(VI)]$; plot C, $[2\text{-}PrONa] = 2[Mo(VI)]$

substrate must be bound, **as** an alkoxy group, to the metal. This has some relevance in the discussion that follows.

Turning **now** to the Mo(VI)-catalyzed oxidation, typical examples are shown in Figures **3** and **4** referring to the reaction under air and under argon respectively. In these experiments, $MoO₂(acac)₂$ has been used as precursor. Previous kinetic 12 and spectroscopic 13 studies have shown that, under our conditions, the ligand acetylacetone is completely displaced from the metal, thus allowing the formation of an oxo-diperoxomolybdenum complex: reaction times. MoO₂(acac)₂ has been
Previous kinetic¹² and spectroscopic¹
that, under our conditions, the lig-
completely displaced from the met
formation of an oxo-diperoxomoly!
MoO₂(acac)₂ + 2H₂O₂ $\frac{RO$

$$
MoO2(acac)2 + 2H2O2 \xrightarrow{ROH}
$$

$$
MoO(O2)2(ROH)n + 2acacH + H2O
$$
 (6)

Other experiments have shown that different precursors, such as $MoO₅HMPT$, gave $MoOO₂)(ROH)_n$, and therefore an almost identical behavior is observed.

In the reaction under argon, in sealed vials and after several vacuum-argon cycles, 1 mol of acetone/mol of H_2O_2 is formed. This is also an indication that little or no self-decomposition of hydrogen peroxide, catalyzed by Mo(V1) derivatives, occurs. On the other hand, the remarkable stability of H_2O_2 in the presence of $V(V)$ and Mo(V1) but in the absence of an oxidizable substrate has been previously reported.⁹ As an example, in t-BuOH, under conditions identical with those adopted in this investigation, no self-decomposition of H_2O_2 to O_2 and H_2O is observed even for long reaction times (48 h or more).

When the same reaction is carried out in the presence **of** air, in an open vessel, the acetone produced exceeds, by a sizable amount, the hydrogen peroxide consumed. Noteworthy is the fact that the more-than-stoichiometric formation of acetone appears to become independent of the concentration of dioxygen.14 In fact, the rates of

Figure 6. Trend of the $-\log R_0$ vs pH_{app} of the reaction solution in the oxidation of 2-PrOH with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2^{\bullet\bullet}(4.5\times10^{-3} \text{ M})$ catalyzed by $MoO₂(acac)₂$ (1 \times 10⁻⁴ M) in the presence of H₂O (0.5 M); 2-PrOH as solvent at $T = 40$ °C, under air. $\left(\bullet\right) R_0 = -d[H_2O_2]/dt$; (0) $R_0 = d\text{[C=O]}/dt$. The increase of the pH_{app} was obtained **by** adding to the reaction solutions increasing amounts of a ca. 0.1 M solution of 2-PrONa in 2-PrOH.

hydrogen peroxide consumption and acetone formation are the same on passing from air to dioxygen atmosphere. Moreover, the reactions under air are remarkably reproducible. It may be noticed that the plots of Figures **3** and **4** are linear, indicating also in this case, as previously observed for V(V), pseudo-zero-order kinetics due to the large association constant of metal-hydrogen peroxide.^{7a,8}

Figure **5** (plot **A)** shows the results of experiments aimed at establishing the kinetic order in the catalyst. The linearity of the plot of Figure **5** indicates a first-order dependence on $MoO₂(acac)₂$ concentration.

The results presented so far refer to acidic, pH_{apo} 3 (as measured by a glass electrode¹⁵), conditions due to the Lewis acid character of diperoxo-molybdenum complex:¹⁶

$$
MoO(O2)2(ROH)n + ROH \rightleftharpoons [MoO(O2)2(RO)(ROH)n-1]- + ROH2+ (7)
$$

When increasing amounts of 2-PrONa are added, thus moving toward alkaline solution, a remarkable effect on the oxidation rates as measured by disappearance of hydrogen peroxide is observed. These data are shown in the logarithmic plot of Figure 6.

The pseudo-zero-order rate constants increase by a factor of ca. 20 up to pH_{app} 8 (corresponding to ca. 1 equiv of base) and then decrease, reaching a plateau at pH_{app} **>lo.**

It is noteworthy that in alkaline medium the morethan-stoichiometric production of acetone is suppressed. In fact, in the pH_{app} interval 8-13, the amount of acetone

⁽¹²⁾ Bortolini, *0.;* **Di Furia, F.; Modena, G.; Scardellato, C.; Scrimin, P.** *J. Mol. Catal.* **1981,** *11,* **107-118.**

⁽¹³⁾ Bortolini, 0.; Bragante, L.; Di Furia, F.; Modena, G. *Can. J. Chem.* **1986,** *64,* **1189-1195.**

⁽¹⁴⁾ In fact, even though the reaction between the ketyl radical and dioxygen, eq 1, ought to be almost diffusion controlled, an effect on the rate on varying the dioxygen concentration from 20% to pure dioxygen should be observed.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Throughout the paper, the acidity of the medium is measured by the apparent pH of the solution according to a practice commonly used when working in nonaqueous media, even though no thermodynamic significance may be attached to the values reported. On the other hand, the alternative use of such small values of base concentration leads to

large errors in determining the points of Figure 6.
(16) Arcoria, A.; Ballistreri, F. P.; Tomaselli, G. A.; Di Furia, F.;
Modena, G. J. Mol. Catal. 1983, 18, 177–188.

Figure 7. Rate of disappearance of H_2O_2 (a) and appearance of acetone (D) in the oxidation of 2-PrOH with H_2O_2 (4.5 \times 10⁻³ **M**) catalyzed by $\text{MoO}_{2}(\text{acac})_{2}$ (1×10^{-4} M) in the presence of H_{2}O (0.5 M) , pH_{app} 9.5. 2-PrOH as solvent at $T = 40 \degree \text{C}$, under air.

formed corresponds, as shown in Figures *6* and **7,** to the amount of hydrogen peroxide consumed. On the other hand, the linearity of plots of H_2O_2 vs time is still observed and the kinetic order of the catalyst remains 1; see Figure **5** (plots B and **C).**

According to the equilibrium of eq 7,16 the only effect expected for added base is an increase of the concentration of anionic peroxomolybdenum species until complete neutralization of the acid is reached, and consequently, an increase in the reaction rate might be expected. However, the behavior of the plot of log rate constants vs $\rm pH_{app}$ at relatively high pH_{app} values, i.e., the decrease of the rates after the maximum at $pH_{app} > 8$ (see Figure 6), is not easily rationalized. In fact, the potentiometric titration of $MoO(O₂)₂$ in 2-propanol, reported in a previous paper,¹³ shows only one equivalent point, thus confirming that also in this solvent we are dealing with a monobasic acid complex. On the other hand, the evidence obtained here suggests that the anionic peroxomolybdenum formed upon neutralization of the acid undergoes a subsequent transformation leading to a species of lower oxidizing ability. This species should not be a simple dianion (vide infra). The occurrence of a dimeric or polymeric complex should be taken into account even though the order 1 in the catalyst is in better agreement with the absence of aggregation equilibria of the metal species. Formation of ion pairs between anionic peroxo complexes and alkaline cation favored by the low polarity of 2-propanol might also play a role, as discussed below.¹⁷

Moreover, the data referring to alkaline media appear to rule out any involvement of dioxygen leading to the formation of hydrogen peroxide. **As** a further evidence, we have confirmed, by using ¹⁸O-labeled hydrogen peroxide,² that no dilution of the label caused by formation of H_2O_2 from reduction of dioxygen is observed, contrary to the results obtained in acidic medium.2 The pertinent data are reported in Table 11.

Table II. MoO₂(acac)₂-Catalyzed Oxidation of 2-PrOH **Carried Out with '*O-Labeled H,O,"**

atmosphere	ת $\mathrm{H_{app}}$	time, h	$%$ loss of 18 O label in residual $H_2O_2^b$
argon	2.9	20	
air	2.9	20	26
air	10.5		

 $^{\circ}$ [MoO₂(acac)₂] = 1 × 10⁻⁴ M, [H₂O₂] = 4.5 × 10⁻³ M, [H₂O] = 0.5 M. *T* = 40 °C. ^b The preparation of ¹⁸O-labeled H₂O₂ (\sim 20%) **enrichment) and the determination of the label content** by **MS have been reported elsewhere.2**

Figure 8.

Although we cannot provide, at the moment, a comprehensive rationale for the general behavior shown in Figure **6** and, in particular, for the decrease of oxidation rates after the maximum, we may offer a tentative mechanistic interpretation.

The experimental evidence suggests that the neutral oxo-diperoxomolybdenum complex, having at least one molecule of alcohol in the coordination sphere, may act **as** a one-electron oxidant. The fact that alcohol molecules are bound to the metal is indicated by several pieces of evidence: (i) All the structures of oxo -diperoxo-(i) All the structures of oxo-diperoxomolybdenum complexes determined by X-ray analysis¹⁸ display a distorted pentagonal bipyramid arrangement where the four peroxo oxygens and a ligand lie in the equatorial plane, the oxo group occupies one of the two apical positions, and an extra ligand, e.g., H₂O, is in the second one. In the absence of other ligands such as HMPT, DMF, etc., it is likely that both L_1 and L_2 are alcohol molecules (Figure 8). (ii) Since peroxomolybdenum complexes behave in alcoholic solutions as monobasic acids,^{13,16} the presence of an acidic proton in the complex or, less likely but formally equivalent, the coordination of an alkoxy group is required. (iii) Peroxomolybdenum species, in the presence of a chiral alcohol, such **as** (-)-DET, behave **as** enantioselective oxidants toward prochiral sulfides¹⁹ and olefins, 20 thus suggesting that indeed the alcohol is coordinated to the metal.

The very weak coordination of the second alcohol molecule (L_2) in the apical position²¹ could suggest that it does not play any role. It is, however, conceivable that, in fairly basic media, a second alkoxy group may substitute the neutral alcohol molecule forming a dianion, strongly ion paired¹⁷ with $Na⁺$. This might not show up in the potentiometric titration, exhibiting a reduced efficiency as oxidant compared to the monoanionic species.

The observed enhancement of oxidation rates with increasing basicity up to the addition of **1** equiv of base, corresponding to the complete formation of the monoanion, should indicate an easier removal of the electron from the alkoxy group than from the coordinated alcohol in spite

~~ ~ ~ ~~~ ~~~ ~~ ~~

⁽¹⁷⁾ It was found that in the pH_{app} region 8-13, conductometric titration of Mo(VI)-peroxo complexes in the presence of excess H_2O_2 shows a decrease of conductivity despite the increase of the ion concentration **in solution. Conte, V. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Padova, 1987.**

⁽¹⁸⁾ Mimoun, H. *The Chemistry of Functional Groups:* **Peroxides;** Patai, S., **Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1982; Chapter, 15, pp 463-482.**

⁽¹⁹⁾ Di Furia, F.; Modena, *G.;* **Seraglia, R.** *Synthesis* **1984, 325-326. (20) Tani, K.; Hanafusa, M.; Otauka,** S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979,**

^{3017-3019.} (21) Le Carpentier, J. M.; Mitschler, A.; Weiss, R. Acta *Crystallogr.,*

Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. **1972,** *B28,* **1278-1288.**

Chart I

of the fact that the electron acceptor is the negatively charged and hence less electrophilic peroxo anion.

It must also be taken into account that, when only the peroxo anion is present, the reaction involving dioxygen is not observed anymore, thus suggesting that free-radical species do not occur. This feature may have at least two explanations: (a) the two subsequent one-electron steps are substituted by a two-electron process, i.e., a hydride transfer;^{7c,22,23} (b) the removal of the second electron to form the carbonyl compound is faster than the reaction of the ketyl radical anion with dioxygen. The two alternatives may be schematically depicted as in Chart I.

Under the hypothesis that the oxidation, also in basic media, involves two subsequent one-electron steps, an appealing rationale of the difference between the oxidation of neutral alcohol and that of the alkoxy ion might be found in the different rates **of** ligand exchange if the detachment of the ketyl radical from the metal is so much faster than that of the ketyl radical anion, thus to make the second electron transfer faster than ligand exchange. Also, this implies that dioxygen should not react with the coordinated ketyl radical.

As to the fate of the species resulting from one-electron transfer to the peroxomolybdenum complex, the kinetics require its rapid disappearance. This could occur via disproportionation followed by regeneration of the peroxo

$$
2[MoO5ROH]•- + 2H+ \rightarrow
$$

\n
$$
MoO5ROH|^{•} + 2H+ \rightarrow
$$

\n
$$
MoO6ROH + MoO4ROH + H2O (8)
$$

\n
$$
MoO4ROH + H2O2 \rightarrow MoO5ROH + H2O (9)
$$

$$
MoO4ROH + H2O2 \rightarrow MoO5ROH + H2O
$$
 (9)

It is also worth noticing that the autoxidative chain leading **to** the formation of hydrogen peroxide in the range of pH_{app} up to ca. 8 is rather short. This is not surprising since the ketyl radical is not likely to survive many cycles in the presence of such a good oxidant as $MoO₅$:

$$
-\overset{•}{\zeta}-OH + MOO_5 \longrightarrow \searrow C = 0 + [MO_5]^{\bullet-} + H^{\bullet} \qquad (10)
$$

It should be noticed that, if the hypothesis of oneelectron oxidation is accepted, the process of eq 10 ought to be the product-forming step in the reaction under argon where no interception of the ketyl radical by dioxygen is possible.

As anticipated above, the data reported here confirm the versatility of peroxometal complexes **as** oxidizing agents. In particular, the same species, i.e., $MoO(O₂)₂(ROH)_n$, has been demonstrated to act as an electrophilic oxidant toward substrates such as alkenes²⁴ and thioethers²⁵ and as a one-electron acceptor in the reactions with alcohols.2 Further studies on other peroxo complexes as well as on other one-electron-donor substrates are warranted.

Experimental Section

General Procedure. The general procedure adopted for ox-
idation experiments has been previously reported.² In Figures 1-4, each point is the average of three independent experiments, which agreed within 5-7%.

Acetone was quantitatively determined by GLC (2-m column, packed with Carbowax 20 M, 15%, on Chromosorb W AW-DMCS, n-undecane internal standard).

Potentiometric titrations were carried out by stepwise addition of a freshly prepared solution of 2-PrONa, standardized with 0.01 M HC1, to a stirred solution (under argon) of the peroxo complex in 2-PrOH. The equilibrium potentials at the electrode were measured 30 s after the addition of the base.

Materials and Instrumentation. High-purity 2-propanol and acetone were obtained by standard procedures starting from commercial samples.

 $\rm VO(OPr\text{-}i)_3$ was prepared by a reported method²⁶ and purified by distillation (95 °C (10 mmHg)). MoO₂(acac)₂, a commercial product, was purified by recrystallization from acetone/acetylacetone, 1:4. Commercial H₂O₂ (36% w/v; Carlo Erba) was used as received. The ¹⁸O-enriched hydrogen peroxide was prepared by direct conversion of H_2O vapor (\sim 20% enrichment) in an electric discharge apparatus.²⁷

Gas chromatographic **analyses** were performed on a Varian **3700** instrument equipped with a Vista CDS 401 or a Perkin-Elmer Sigma 10 integrator. Mass spectra were obtained by using a VG 16 M mass spectrometer. The determinations of the apparent pH values of the reaction mixtures as well **as** the potentiometric titrations were carried out by using a Metrohm 605 potentiometer equipped with a standard glass electrode.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by CNR, Strategic Project "Single Electron Transfer".

Registry No. MoO₂(acac)₂, 17524-05-9; VO(OPr-i)₃, 5588-84-1; H202, 7722-84-1; 2-propanol, 67-63-0.

⁽²²⁾ See, for example: Jacobson, *S.* E.; Mucigrosso, D. A.; Mares, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979,44,921-924.**

⁽²³⁾ Trost, B. M.; Masuyama, Y. *Isr. J. Chem.* **1984, 24, 134-143.**

⁽²⁴⁾ Mimoun, H.; Seree de Roche, I.; Sajus, L. *Tetrahedron* **1970,26, 37-50.**

⁽²⁵⁾ Curci, R.; Giannattasio, S.; Sciacovelli, *0.;* Troisi, L. *Tetrahedron* **1984,40, 2763-2771.**

⁽²⁶⁾ Cartan, F.; Caughlan, C. N. J. Phys. Chem. 1960, 64, 1756-1760. **(27)** Ball, R. E.; Edwards, J. 0. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1966,** *28,* **2458-2465.**